Councillor Lucinda Preston Not Available (Objects)

Comment submitted date: Thu 29 Aug 2019

There are a number of issues with the above retrospective planning applications with regard to the unnecessary number of signs and obtrusive nature of both the signage and the cameras. However, at this stage I specifically draw your attention to the problems with the lighting erected in the car park.

Firstly, there are too many lights for what is a small car park. Whilst safety is important, given the size of the space, it is unnecessary to have so many lights. Secondly, the lights are far too bright and are incredibly obtrusive, thus having a detrimental impact on the quality of life of residents living on Motherby Lane. Many residents now find that back bedrooms are not suitable for sleep and that ground level rooms at the back of the properties are constantly flooded with light pollution, causing them to be less relaxing spaces and creating issues regarding privacy. A council officer has himself observed that the level of light emitted is above the level that would be expected for a residential property. The use of masking tape over lights by the operating company has done little to lessen the harsh glare.

As a result of these issues, I am supporting the residents of Motherby Lane in asking that the above applications are delayed until the lighting issues are addressed. Like the residents, I am surprised that lighting is not part of these planning applications. This is a residential area and also part of a conservation area and therefore lighting should surely be part of any planning application.

Mrs Lynne Corcoran 7 Rosebery Avenue Lincoln Lincolnshire LN1 1ND (Objects)

Comment submitted date: Mon 26 Aug 2019

I welcome the fact that the signs at the entrance will be reduced under the proposed plan and in particular the proposed removal of signs that are in front of a resident's window. I think it should be ensured that the large sign at the left of the entrance, which is proposed to be sited on the wall, is re-sited far enough along the wall for it not to be visible to people walking down West Parade towards the car park. The sign at the right of the entrance could also be mounted on the wall, rather than on a pole, sited so that it could still be seen by approaching drivers.

I welcome the fact that the signs in the main body of the car park will be reduced under the proposals but would suggest this should be taken even further, as all of these signs are garish and there is no need to have so much repetition of information.

The element that I know from talking to residents is probably the most intrusive is the lighting. It is very bright and on for long stretches of time. I understand that this is not within your remit but I hope that there will be liaison on this issue with the appropriate department.

Dr Donald Pulford 17 Beaumont Fee Lincoln Lincolnshire LN1 1EY (Neutral)

Comment submitted date: Tue 20 Aug 2019

I have a couple of concerns:

1) The pole-mounted sign at the Motherby Lane entrance is ugly and visually polluting. It might be better against or on the wall of the near house. After all, traffic in Motherby Lane is one way and it would still make an impact without damaging the streetscape.

2) It is not clear how the car park is to be lit. I am keen that any glare not intrude into/on homes in the area.

Dear Councillo13 - 2019/0608 Ful
NCP CAR PARE, MOTHERBY LANE, LINCOLN.
The residents around the above can fant
are astonished that lighting is not included
in the artent application for planning.
we are therefore requesting that me application be detailed until NCP
has on 128idents and environment.
Given the Council has declared a directe
the unecessary nature of this lighting.
Pat M'Girlay II, Monutay Lane.
Pat McGordon II, Notherby Lane. Danald Pulsord 17 Beaumont Fee
A second
Rhea Nicolson 19E Hungato, Lincola
Mary Blackcolder ZI Mangate, Lincoln
John Hudson 19 hungate
Hannah Mottishaw 15 Motherby have.
Alex Osmand 15 Motherby lane
JOHN CRICK. 10 HUNGATE LIVES
Lois Delong 12 Hungate LM 1ES

Lincoln Civic Trust

Comment Date: Tue 10 Sep 2019

No Objection

 Comment: We appreciate that after consultation the number of signs has already been reduced, but we felt that NCP should be tasked with reviewing the necessity of so many bright yellow signs in a car park.

Highways & Planning

Comment Date: Tue 27 Aug 2019

Does not wish to restrict the grant of permission